

Vulnerability & Patch Management

Why are vulnerability and patch management so important?

Modern cyber criminals are opportunistic and extremely skilled at exploiting software and hardware vulnerabilities with lightning speed – sometimes before patches are even available. And the speed at which threat actors exploit these vulnerabilities will only continue to accelerate with the weaponization of artificial intelligence (AI) and other technologies. For context, approximately 28% of known exploitable vulnerabilities disclosed in 2024 were exploited within less than one day of their disclosure.¹ **Dynamic, comprehensive, and ongoing vulnerability and patch management programs** can help close the door to threat actor exploitation of software and hardware vulnerabilities across the organization.

What are the differences between vulnerability and patch management?

According to the FFIEC IT Handbook booklet: *Architecture, Infrastructure, and Operations*, vulnerability management is “a process to continuously acquire, assess, and take action on new information to identify vulnerabilities, remediate, and minimize the window of opportunity for attackers. **Part of vulnerability management is patch management**. Patch management is the systematic notification, identification, deployment, installation, and verification of OS and application software code revisions.”²

Vulnerability management basics

At its core, vulnerability management addresses the identification and remediation of risks specific to your institution. The FFIEC notes that, “To have systems that are operationally functional and secure and perform as intended, management should implement a vulnerability management program that identifies systems and software vulnerabilities, prioritizes the vulnerabilities and the affected systems in order of risk, and performs timely remediation, according to the risk associated with the system. The program should include an entity’s systems and software operating in the cloud for which the entity is responsible and those managed by the entity on its premises.”³

Third-party information sources and scanning tools

To help the institution better understand the nature of threats, it is important to integrate relevant threat information into the vulnerability management program. This can be accomplished through the **monitoring of third-party information sources**, such as FS-ISAC, US-CERT, NIST, regulatory and law enforcement alerts, and trusted vendor partners. The FFIEC also states that, “Management should implement a process to periodically assess systems and software for vulnerabilities using scanners that are updated with a current vulnerability list.”⁴ The effectiveness of scanning efforts is dependent on the existence of a comprehensive inventory of approved systems, software, and devices. Scans should “include all systems and software in the entity’s hardware, software, and telecommunications inventories.” Proper controls should be in place to protect these scanning tools “against unauthorized use or access to sensitive information”, including “separation of duties, logical security, configuration management, and log review.”⁵ Further, scans should ideally be agent-based or authenticated for higher-confidence results.

¹ Garrity, Patrick (VulnCheck). [“2025 Q1 Trends in Vulnerability Exploitation”](#). April 24, 2025.

² Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council. [FFIEC Information Technology Examination Handbook: Architecture, Infrastructure, and Operations - VI.B.3- Vulnerability and Patch Management](#). June 2021.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ibid.

Vulnerability management goes beyond systems and software assets

When thinking of vulnerability management, it is important to recognize that **vulnerabilities are not limited to systems or software assets**. Vulnerability management also encompasses weaknesses in “security procedures, physical layout, or internal controls that malicious users could exploit to gain unauthorized access to systems or information or to disrupt critical services.”⁶

Patch management basics

The FFIEC IT Handbook booklet, *Information Security*, provides a number of processes and controls to address patch management in the institution. Considerations include the following:

- **A monitoring process that identifies the availability of software (and hardware) patches.** This process should be timely and present a comprehensive view of available patches that refreshes frequently as new patches are introduced. The patching process should be built upon a comprehensive inventory of hardware and software assets to ensure thoroughness in the patching process. Patching programs should cover the entire security stack, including hardware, software, cloud-based assets, and containers.
- **A process to evaluate the patches against the threat and network environment.** This process will allow the institution to tailor the application of patches to its own unique environment and will assist in the prioritization of patches by severity and potential impact to the institution.
- **A prioritization process to determine which patches to apply across classes of computers and applications.** Patches should ideally be prioritized based upon severity, with Known Exploited Vulnerabilities (KEVs), critical, and high-severity vulnerabilities receiving the most urgent priority in the institution’s patching regimen. Ideally, “critical” vulnerabilities should be remediated within 15 calendar days of initial detection; “high” severity vulnerabilities should be remediated within 30 calendar days.⁷ Institutions should also be aware of smaller remediation windows that may be recommended by vendors to remediate more urgent vulnerabilities. In the event a vendor fails to assign a rating to a specific vulnerability, the institution should perform internal threat modeling or consult external sources, such as FS-ISAC, to determine prioritization for remediating the vulnerability.
- **A process for obtaining, testing, and securely installing patches, including in the institution’s virtual environments.** Once the institution has identified and prioritized necessary patches, it is necessary to retrieve patches from the vendor. Testing patched applications in a controlled, non-production environment can more safely reveal how changes to a patched asset might interact with or create conflicts in the operating environment prior to enterprise-wide deployment.
- **An exception process, with appropriate documentation, for patches that management decides to delay or not apply.** There are occasionally circumstances where patches may not be readily applicable within the institution’s environment (e.g., when unacceptable interoperability conflicts occur, etc.). Documenting and tracking unapplied patches can help management understand the nature of any issues noted, as well as any necessary plans for remediation, including the application of compensating controls, until issues can be resolved.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ CISA. [CISA Insights: Remediate Vulnerabilities for Internet-Accessible Systems](#).

- ***A process to ensure that all patches installed in the production environment are also installed in the disaster recovery environment in a timely manner.*** The institution should ensure that all patches installed in the production environment are mirrored in the disaster recovery environment to ensure security and consistency should a failover become necessary.
- ***A documentation process to ensure the institution's information assets and technology inventory and disaster recovery plans are updated as appropriate when patches are applied.*** Patching can introduce new features, updated version numbers, changes to dependencies, or even compatibility issues within the institution's environment. Documentation of patch changes can help to ensure that the institution is fully aware of the current state of its inventory and that its disaster recovery plans are reflective of the current state of assets in the environment.⁸

⁸ Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council. [FFIEC Information Technology Examination Handbook: Information Security - II.C..10\(d\) - Patch Management](#). September 2016.