

The Examiner

Nov 22, 2019

The CSBS Examiner staff wish everyone a very safe and happy Thanksgiving. The next edition of the Examiner will be sent **Friday, December 6**.

In This Issue...

- [John Ryan statement on the creation of the FDIC Advisory Committee of State Regulators](#)
 - [A Tale of Two Strategies: Core Deposit Growth Versus Loan Growth](#)
 - [Podcast #14 - What Keeps Community Bankers up at Night](#)
-

”

"When I was a kid my parents moved a lot, but I always found them."

- Jack Roy, aka Rodney Dangerfield, born this day in 1921

John Ryan statement on the creation of the FDIC Advisory Committee of State Regulators

CSBS issued a statement yesterday supporting the formation of an advisory committee of state regulators for the FDIC.

John Ryan, CSBS president and CEO:

I commend Chairman McWilliams leadership and the FDIC Board's action to authorize the Advisory Committee of State Regulators. A robust partnership between state regulators and the FDIC is indispensable to the effective supervision of state-chartered banks, which constitute more than 78% of all U.S. banks. The FDIC will add an important avenue for coordination and strengthen the network of state and federal regulation that works to ensure the safety and soundness of financial institutions and the protection of consumers.


[Back to Top](#)

A Tale of Two Strategies: Core Deposit Growth Versus Loan Growth

By CSBS Senior Economist and Director of Research Thomas F. Siems, Ph.D.


Funding concerns shift for community banks, and a close look at the [2019 CSBS National Survey of Community Banks](#) shows the current focus is the importance of deposit growth and funding risks.

This year, 571 community banks responded to the annual survey. In answer to the question, "How often is core deposit growth prioritized over loan growth?" **Chart 1** shows that they were nearly evenly split between those that "always" or "usually" prioritize core deposit growth over loan growth (27%), with those that "rarely" or "never" do so (27%). The remaining respondents (46%) prioritize deposit growth over loan growth about half the time.




As shown in **Chart 2**, cross-tabulating these responses with another survey question reveals that of the 115 community banks (23% of respondents) that classified core deposit growth as their single greatest challenge, about 46% report that they “always” or “usually” pursue a core deposit growth strategy. Notably, concerns about the cost of funds is also strongly associated with banks that pursue a core deposit growth strategy. For the 44 banks (8% of respondents) citing cost of funds as their single greatest challenge, 41% “always” or “usually” pursue a core deposit growth strategy. Conversely, for the 62 banks (12% of respondents) reporting that loan demand is their single greatest challenge, 51% report that a core deposit growth strategy is “never” or “rarely” pursued.

Chart 2
Core Deposit Growth is Prioritized Over Loan Growth
for the Following Single Greatest Challenges



By matching individual bank survey scores with financial performance data on bank call reports, **Chart 3** shows that the highest asset growth banks, those with annual asset growth of at least 8%, more frequently report that core deposit growth is “usually” or “always” prioritized over loan growth (39% versus 27% overall). Likewise, core deposit growth is “rarely” or “never” prioritized for firms experiencing negative asset growth by 39% of community banks versus 27% overall. This may suggest that rapidly growing banks are concerned mainly with liquidity and rely primarily on wholesale funding, thus the prioritization of core deposit growth to support asset growth. Shrinking banks, however, are likely more concerned about pursuing asset growth opportunities over sourcing core deposits.

Chart 3
Core Deposit Growth is Prioritized Over Loan Growth
by Banks with the Highest Asset Growth




Two impediments to attracting and retaining core deposits, market competition and capital constraints, showed a strong association with community banks that prioritize core deposit growth over loan growth.

For banks that report market competition as “slightly” or “moderately important” as an impediment to attracting and retaining core deposits, **Chart 4** shows that they more frequently report that a core deposit growth strategy is “never” or “rarely” prioritized over a loan growth strategy (42% versus 27% overall).


However, the converse is not true: banks that report market competition as an “important” or “very important” impediment to attracting and retaining core deposits do not more frequently report that a core deposit growth strategy is “usually” or “always” prioritized over a loan growth strategy. This may mean that less competitive markets have fewer growth opportunities and that these markets are losing deposits.

Chart 4
Loan Growth is Prioritized Over Core Deposit Growth
for Banks Less Concerned About Market Competition



For banks that report capital constraints as an “important” or “very important” impediment to attracting and retaining core deposits, **Chart 5** shows that they more frequently report that a core deposit growth strategy is “usually” or “always” prioritized over a loan growth strategy (40% versus 27% overall). This may reflect the actions of banks with some constraints on borrowing (e.g., regulatory scrutiny) and the need to increase capital.

Chart 5
Core Deposit Growth is Prioritized Over Loan Growth for Banks
More Concerned About Capital Constraints



In summary, the 2019 CSBS National Survey of Community Banks revealed that an equal percentage of community bankers “always” or “usually” prioritize core deposit growth over loan growth to those that “never” or “rarely” do so. Not surprisingly, we find that community banks listing core deposit growth or cost of funds as their single greatest challenge tend to “always” or “usually” pursue a core deposit growth strategy. And conversely, community banks listing loan demand as their single greatest challenge tend to “never” or “rarely” pursue core deposit growth over loan growth.

We find that high asset growth community banks more frequently report pursuing a core deposit growth strategy, whereas negative asset growth community banks tend to prioritize loan growth. Finally, we find that community banks reporting that market concentration and capital constraints are important concerns regarding their ability to attract and retain core deposits more frequently prioritize core deposit growth over loan growth.

Community bankers and bank regulators are paying close attention to liquidity risks and higher loan-to-deposit ratios. A bank’s core funding strategy should be forward-looking. That is, community banks that tend to prioritize core deposit growth should be most concerned about core deposit growth, and banks that prioritize loan growth should be most concerned about loan demand. These

results are reaffirmed by this research and highlight some secular changes that may be impacting the future funding model for community banks.

This blog was the second post based on research from a recent [CSBS working paper](#) (co-authored with William C. Dunkelberg, chief economist at the National Federation for Independent Business, and Jonathan A. Scott, professor at Temple University). In the first post, [community bank impediments to attracting and retaining core deposits](#) was examined. Our next post will examine wholesale funding strategies as they relate to funding and liquidity.

We welcome comments on this research and the CSBS working paper, which can be directed to me at TSiems@csbs.org.

[Back to Top](#)

Podcast #14 - What Keeps Community Bankers up at Night

Today's Guest: Alisha Sears, CSBS Senior Analyst, Policy Development

CSBS, the FDIC, and the Fed have this annual conference every year about community banks. And we get together these brilliant economist, and statisticians, and we even do a poll! A poll of community banks all across the country. And we look at all the data and research, and it's pretty informative.

But we also learned pretty quickly that we weren't capturing everything that was going on.

Community banks are local, just like these local interviews that I've slowly learned to appreciate.

So we started doing a sort of "Vox Pop" of our own, focused just on community bankers, and we call it "Five Questions for Five Bankers."

Today, I have on an expert from CSBS who works with the state regulators that gather the feedback from bankers across the country, summarizes, and publishes it for the conference. Some of what they say can be seen in data we have, and some of what they say is pretty surprising.